



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		_	•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/769,851	01/25/2001	Timo Saarnimo	208285	5503
21831 75	590 12/09/2004		EXAM	INER
	& RASKIN, P.C.	PAN, YUWEN		
<ul> <li>1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15 NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803</li> </ul>		III PLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2682	
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/00/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/769,851	SAARNIMO, TIMO				
navies, y nadon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yuwen Pan	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avairal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 October 2004</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:	•					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>-</u> -				
10.		2/				
	SU:	VIVIAN CHIN PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER JECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: although the applicant argues that prior of record doesn't teach that a loop antenna wherein the first plane is substantially conplanar with the second plane, first of all, according to the specification, the cirucit substrate 11 and the loop antenna 10 do not have to be exactly on the same plane (see page 4, lines 19-23), second Bolanos does teach that part of the loop antenna (see figure 5 and 6 item 426) is planar with the circuit substrate. Therefore, the prior art clearly teaches all the limitation as claims, all the limitations as argued are nevertheless being met and addressed in the previous final rejection, dated 4/19/04. Therefore, applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive.